Interdisciplinary Journal of the African Alliance for Research, Advocacy and Innovation

Green Growth and Sustainable Development: Economic Strategies for a Low-Carbon Future

Dawinder Singh, Assistant Professor, Deptt. of Sociology, Punjab College of Commerce & Agriculture, Chunni Kalan, Fatehgarh Sahib, Punjab

Email : dawindersoni@gmail.com

Abstract

The transition to a low-carbon economy represents one of the most significant economic transformations of the 21st century, requiring fundamental changes in production systems, consumption patterns, and policy frameworks. This paper examines the concept of green growth as a pathway to sustainable development, analyzing economic strategies that can facilitate the transition to a low-carbon future while maintaining economic prosperity. Through a comprehensive review of theoretical frameworks and empirical evidence, this study explores the mechanisms through which economies can decouple economic growth from environmental degradation. The analysis reveals that successful green growth strategies require coordinated policy interventions, including carbon pricing mechanisms, green fiscal policies, sustainable finance frameworks, and innovation support systems. While challenges remain regarding the feasibility of absolute decoupling and the pace of transformation required, evidence suggests that well-designed green growth strategies can deliver both environmental and economic benefits. The paper concludes that achieving sustainable development goals requires a paradigm shift toward circular economic models, supported by appropriate institutional frameworks and international cooperation.

Keywords: green growth, sustainable development, low-carbon economy, circular economy, renewable energy transition, carbon pricing, green finance, decoupling, sustainable innovation, climate policy

Introduction

The concept of green growth has emerged as a central paradigm in contemporary discussions about sustainable development, representing an attempt to reconcile the apparent tension

between economic growth and environmental protection. As global greenhouse gas emissions continue to rise and climate change impacts intensify, policymakers face the challenge of maintaining economic prosperity while rapidly reducing carbon emissions and environmental impact (OECD, 2011). Green growth proposes that this challenge can be met through strategic investments in clean technologies, resource efficiency improvements, and sustainable business models that can drive economic growth while reducing environmental degradation.

The urgency of this transition has been underscored by scientific evidence showing that global warming must be limited to 1.5°C above pre-industrial levels to avoid catastrophic climate impacts, requiring net-zero emissions by 2050 (IPCC, 2018). This timeline necessitates unprecedented economic transformation, with estimates suggesting that achieving net-zero emissions will require annual investments of $1.6-3.8 trillion globally through 2050 (IRENA, 2021). However, these investments also represent significant economic opportunities, with the potential to create millions of jobs and drive innovation across multiple sectors.

This paper provides a comprehensive analysis of green growth strategies for sustainable development, examining both the theoretical foundations and practical implementation challenges. The analysis explores how economies can transition to low-carbon development pathways while maintaining competitiveness and social welfare, drawing on evidence from countries and regions that have made progress in decoupling economic growth from environmental impact.

Literature Review

Theoretical Foundations of Green Growth

The theoretical foundation of green growth rests on the concept of decoupling, which refers to the ability to generate economic value while reducing environmental impact. Two types of decoupling are commonly distinguished: relative decoupling, where environmental impact grows at a slower rate than economic output, and absolute decoupling, where environmental impact declines while economic output continues to grow (UNEP, 2011). Achieving absolute decoupling on a global scale remains a subject of considerable debate among economists and environmental scientists.

Porter and van der Linde (1995) introduced the "Porter Hypothesis," arguing that well-designed environmental regulations can trigger innovation that often fully offsets compliance costs. This hypothesis suggests that environmental protection and economic competitiveness are not necessarily in conflict, challenging traditional assumptions about trade-offs between environmental and economic objectives. Subsequent research has provided mixed support for this hypothesis, with outcomes dependent on specific policy design and implementation contexts (Ambec et al., 2013).

The concept of natural capital, developed by economists such as Pearce and Barbier (2000), provides another theoretical foundation for green growth. This approach treats environmental resources as capital assets that generate valuable services over time, suggesting that sustainable development requires maintaining or increasing the total stock of capital (including natural capital) available to future generations. This perspective emphasizes the importance of investing proceeds from natural resource extraction into other forms of capital that can support long-term prosperity.

Empirical Evidence on Green Growth

Empirical research on green growth has produced mixed results, with some studies finding evidence of successful decoupling in developed countries while others question the feasibility of absolute decoupling at the global scale. Several European countries, including Germany, Denmark, and the United Kingdom, have achieved significant reductions in carbon emissions while maintaining economic growth over the past two decades (EEA, 2019). Germany's Energiewende (energy transition) program, for example, has reduced carbon emissions by 35% since 1990 while the economy grew by 45% over the same period (Agora Energiewende, 2020).

However, critics argue that apparent decoupling in developed countries may be partially attributable to the outsourcing of carbon-intensive production to developing countries, rather than genuine absolute decoupling (Wiedmann et al., 2015). When consumption-based rather than production-based emissions are considered, the extent of decoupling in developed countries appears less pronounced. This highlights the importance of considering global supply chains and trade relationships when evaluating green growth strategies.

Research on renewable energy deployment provides strong evidence for the economic viability of low-carbon technologies. The International Renewable Energy Agency (IRENA, 2020) reports that renewable energy costs have declined dramatically, with solar photovoltaic costs falling by 85% between 2010 and 2020. Wind energy costs have also declined significantly, making renewable energy the cheapest source of power in most markets. These cost reductions have been driven by technological improvements, economies of scale, and learning effects, demonstrating the potential for green technologies to compete economically with conventional alternatives.

Circular Economy and Resource Efficiency

The circular economy concept has gained prominence as a key component of green growth strategies. Unlike the traditional linear "take-make-dispose" model, circular economy approaches emphasize reducing, reusing, and recycling materials to minimize waste and resource consumption (Ellen MacArthur Foundation, 2015). Research suggests that circular economy strategies could reduce global material consumption by 80% while maintaining current living standards, primarily through improved resource efficiency and product longevity (Material Economics, 2018).

The European Union has been a leader in implementing circular economy policies, with the European Green Deal targeting a transition to a fully circular economy by 2050 (European Commission, 2019). Early evidence suggests that circular economy initiatives can generate significant economic benefits, with the EU estimating that circular economy measures could create 700,000 jobs and increase GDP by 0.5% by 2030 (European Commission, 2020).

Green Finance and Investment

The development of green finance mechanisms has emerged as a critical enabler of green growth strategies. Green bonds, which are used to finance environmentally beneficial projects, have grown rapidly from $11 billion in issuances in 2013 to over $500 billion in 2021 (Climate Bonds Initiative, 2022). This growth reflects increasing investor demand for sustainable investment opportunities and growing recognition of climate-related financial risks.

Central banks and financial regulators have also begun incorporating climate risks into their oversight frameworks. The Bank for International Settlements (2021) has highlighted the systemic risks that climate change poses to financial stability, leading to the development of climate stress testing and enhanced disclosure requirements for financial institutions. These developments are creating market incentives for low-carbon investments and making climate risks more visible to investors.

Methodology

This paper employs a comprehensive literature review methodology, integrating theoretical frameworks with empirical evidence from peer-reviewed academic journals, policy reports, and international organization publications. The analysis draws on multiple disciplinary perspectives, including environmental economics, innovation studies, and policy analysis, to provide a holistic assessment of green growth strategies.

The research methodology includes systematic review of recent publications on green growth, sustainable development, and low-carbon transitions, with particular attention to quantitative studies that assess the economic impacts of environmental policies. Case studies from different countries and regions are analyzed to identify successful policy approaches and implementation challenges. The paper also incorporates analysis of recent policy developments and technological trends that are shaping the transition to a low-carbon economy.

Analysis

Economic Drivers of Green Growth

The transition to a low-carbon economy is being driven by multiple economic factors that are creating new opportunities for sustainable development. Technological innovation is perhaps the most significant driver, with rapid improvements in clean energy technologies, energy storage, and digital technologies creating new possibilities for economic growth with reduced environmental impact.

The declining costs of renewable energy technologies have been particularly important in driving green growth. Solar photovoltaic costs have fallen by 90% since 2009, while wind

energy costs have declined by 70% over the same period (IRENA, 2021). These cost reductions have made renewable energy competitive with fossil fuels in most markets, creating economic incentives for clean energy deployment that extend beyond environmental considerations.

Digital technologies are also playing an increasingly important role in enabling green growth. Smart grid technologies, for example, can optimize energy distribution and integrate variable renewable energy sources more effectively. Digital platforms can facilitate sharing economy business models that improve resource utilization, while data analytics can identify opportunities for energy and material efficiency improvements across supply chains (OECD, 2019).

Consumer preferences are another important driver of green growth, with surveys indicating increasing demand for sustainable products and services. Millennials and Generation Z consumers, in particular, demonstrate strong preferences for environmentally responsible brands and are willing to pay premium prices for sustainable products (Nielsen, 2018). This shift in consumer demand is creating market opportunities for companies that can deliver products and services with lower environmental impact.

Policy Instruments for Green Growth

Effective green growth strategies require coordinated policy interventions that address market failures, provide appropriate incentives for private investment, and support the development of clean technologies. Carbon pricing mechanisms, including carbon taxes and cap-and-trade systems, are widely recognized as essential policy tools for internalizing the environmental costs of greenhouse gas emissions.

Research on carbon pricing effectiveness shows mixed but generally positive results. British Columbia's carbon tax, implemented in 2008, has been associated with a 5-15% reduction in emissions covered by the tax, while having minimal impact on economic growth (Murray & Rivers, 2015). The European Union Emissions Trading System, despite initial design flaws, has contributed to significant emissions reductions in the power sector, particularly as carbon prices have increased in recent years (Bayer & Aklin, 2020).

Green fiscal policies, including the removal of fossil fuel subsidies and the implementation of environmental tax reforms, represent another important policy lever. The International Monetary Fund estimates that global fossil fuel subsidies totaled $5.9 trillion in 2020, representing a massive misallocation of public resources that encourages environmentally harmful activities (IMF, 2021). Redirecting these subsidies toward clean energy and sustainable infrastructure could significantly accelerate the green transition.

Regulatory standards and mandates have also proven effective in driving green growth. Renewable energy standards, which require utilities to source a specified percentage of electricity from renewable sources, have been implemented in over 180 jurisdictions worldwide and have been instrumental in driving renewable energy deployment (REN21, 2021). Similarly, energy efficiency standards for buildings and appliances have delivered significant energy savings while stimulating innovation in efficient technologies.

Innovation policy plays a crucial role in supporting green growth by addressing the market failures that can impede clean technology development. Public research and development funding, technology demonstration programs, and innovation prizes can help overcome the high risks and long payback periods associated with clean technology innovation. The success of government support for renewable energy development demonstrates the potential for well-designed innovation policies to accelerate technological progress (Mazzucato & Semieniuk, 2017).

Sectoral Strategies for Low-Carbon Transition

Different economic sectors face distinct challenges and opportunities in transitioning to low-carbon development pathways. The power sector has seen the most rapid progress, with renewable energy now accounting for over 80% of new electricity capacity additions globally (IRENA, 2021). The combination of declining technology costs, supportive policies, and favorable financing conditions has created a virtuous cycle of renewable energy deployment.

The transportation sector presents both significant challenges and opportunities for green growth. Electric vehicle sales have grown rapidly in recent years, reaching 10 million units in 2022, but still represent only 3% of global vehicle sales (IEA, 2023). The transition to electric mobility requires substantial investments in charging infrastructure, battery manufacturing capacity, and electricity grid upgrades. However, this transition also creates opportunities for

new industries and value chains, with estimates suggesting that the electric vehicle market could reach $2.5 trillion by 2030 (McKinsey, 2021).

Industrial sectors face more complex decarbonization challenges, particularly energy-intensive industries such as steel, cement, and chemicals. These sectors often lack commercially viable low-carbon alternatives and face international competitiveness concerns if environmental regulations are not coordinated globally. However, emerging technologies such as hydrogen-based steel production and carbon capture and utilization offer potential pathways for industrial decarbonization (IEA, 2021).

The buildings sector offers significant opportunities for green growth through energy efficiency improvements and the deployment of renewable heating and cooling technologies. Deep energy retrofits can reduce building energy consumption by 50-90% while creating jobs in construction and manufacturing sectors (IEA, 2019). Green building certification programs have demonstrated market demand for sustainable buildings, with certified green buildings commanding premium rents and sale prices in many markets.

Circular Economy Implementation

The transition to circular economy models requires fundamental changes in product design, business models, and consumer behavior. Design for circularity principles emphasize durability, repairability, and material recovery, requiring collaboration across supply chains to optimize material flows. Companies such as Interface, Patagonia, and Philips have demonstrated that circular business models can be profitable while reducing environmental impact (Ellen MacArthur Foundation, 2019).

Extended producer responsibility policies, which make manufacturers responsible for the entire lifecycle of their products, have proven effective in promoting circular economy practices. These policies have been particularly successful in electronics and packaging sectors, where they have increased recycling rates and encouraged design changes that facilitate material recovery (OECD, 2016).

Industrial symbiosis, where the waste outputs of one industrial process become inputs for another, represents another important circular economy strategy. The Kalundborg industrial symbiosis in Denmark, for example, has achieved significant resource savings and cost

reductions by creating integrated material and energy flows between different industrial facilities (Chertow, 2007).

Green Finance and Investment Mechanisms

The development of robust green finance mechanisms is essential for mobilizing the capital required for low-carbon transition. Green bonds have emerged as an important financing tool, with cumulative issuances exceeding $1.6 trillion by 2021 (Climate Bonds Initiative, 2022). However, concerns about "greenwashing" have led to calls for more stringent standards and verification processes to ensure that green bond proceeds are used for genuinely environmentally beneficial projects.

Blended finance mechanisms, which combine public and private capital to reduce investment risks, have shown promise in mobilizing private investment for sustainable development projects. The Green Climate Fund and other multilateral climate funds have used blended finance approaches to leverage additional private investment, though the scale of financing mobilized remains below what is required for global decarbonization (Climate Policy Initiative, 2021).

Central bank policies are increasingly incorporating climate considerations, with some central banks implementing green quantitative easing programs that favor bonds from companies with strong environmental performance. The People's Bank of China, for example, has provided preferential lending rates for green projects, helping to channel capital toward sustainable investments (PBOC, 2021).

Discussion

Challenges and Limitations of Green Growth

Despite promising developments in green technologies and policies, several challenges and limitations constrain the potential for green growth to achieve sustainable development objectives. The most fundamental challenge concerns the feasibility of achieving absolute decoupling at the scale and pace required to meet environmental targets. While some countries have achieved significant relative decoupling, global resource consumption and

environmental impact continue to grow, raising questions about whether technological solutions alone can deliver sustainability (Hickel & Kallis, 2020).

The rebound effect, where efficiency improvements lead to increased consumption that partially offsets environmental benefits, poses another challenge for green growth strategies. Studies suggest that rebound effects can offset 10-50% of expected energy savings from efficiency improvements, depending on the specific context and time frame (Sorrell et al., 2020). This highlights the importance of combining efficiency measures with policies that constrain overall resource consumption.

Distributive concerns also complicate green growth implementation, as the costs and benefits of green policies are not equally distributed across society. Carbon pricing policies, for example, can disproportionately affect low-income households who spend a larger share of their income on energy and transportation. Addressing these distributional concerns requires complementary policies such as revenue recycling mechanisms and targeted support for vulnerable populations (Dorband et al., 2019).

International competitiveness concerns can undermine support for ambitious environmental policies, particularly in trade-exposed industries. The risk of carbon leakage, where production shifts to countries with less stringent environmental regulations, can reduce the environmental effectiveness of climate policies while imposing economic costs on regulated firms. Border carbon adjustments, which would impose tariffs on imports from countries without equivalent climate policies, have been proposed as a solution but raise complex technical and diplomatic challenges (Mehling et al., 2019).

Success Factors for Green Growth Implementation

Analysis of successful green growth initiatives reveals several common success factors that can inform policy design and implementation. Strong political leadership and long-term policy commitment are essential for creating the stable investment environment required for green growth. Countries such as Denmark and Costa Rica have maintained consistent support for renewable energy and environmental protection across multiple political cycles, enabling sustained progress toward sustainability goals (IRENA, 2019).

Stakeholder engagement and social acceptance are also crucial for successful green growth implementation. Policies that are developed through inclusive processes and address stakeholder concerns are more likely to achieve their objectives and maintain political support. Germany's Energiewende, despite facing some implementation challenges, has maintained broad public support due to extensive stakeholder consultation and benefit-sharing mechanisms (Morris & Jungjohann, 2016).

Institutional capacity and governance quality significantly influence green growth outcomes. Countries with strong institutional frameworks, effective regulatory agencies, and low levels of corruption are better positioned to implement complex environmental policies effectively. Conversely, weak governance can undermine policy effectiveness and create opportunities for rent-seeking behavior that diverts resources from sustainable development objectives (Dasgupta et al., 2006).

International cooperation and knowledge sharing can accelerate green growth by facilitating technology transfer, coordinating policies, and sharing best practices. The International Energy Agency's technology roadmaps and the OECD's green growth indicators provide valuable frameworks for countries developing their own green growth strategies. Multilateral initiatives such as Mission Innovation and the Clean Energy Ministerial facilitate collaboration on clean energy research and deployment (IEA, 2020).

Emerging Trends and Future Directions

Several emerging trends are likely to shape the future of green growth and sustainable development. The rapid advancement of artificial intelligence and machine learning technologies offers new opportunities for optimizing resource use and improving environmental monitoring. AI applications in smart grids, precision agriculture, and supply chain optimization could significantly enhance resource efficiency and reduce environmental impact (Rolnick et al., 2019).

The growing recognition of nature-based solutions as cost-effective approaches to climate mitigation and adaptation is creating new opportunities for green investment. Forest restoration, wetland conservation, and sustainable agriculture practices can deliver both environmental and economic benefits while supporting rural livelihoods and biodiversity conservation (Griscom et al., 2017).

The concept of a "just transition" is gaining prominence as policymakers recognize the need to address the social and economic impacts of the shift away from fossil fuel industries. Just transition policies aim to support workers and communities affected by industrial transformation while ensuring that the benefits of green growth are broadly shared (ILO, 2018).

Policy Recommendations

Based on the analysis of green growth strategies and implementation experiences, several policy recommendations emerge for advancing sustainable development through low-carbon economic transformation:

Implement Comprehensive Carbon Pricing: Governments should establish robust carbon pricing mechanisms that cover all major emission sources and provide clear, long-term price signals for investment decisions. Carbon pricing should be complemented by measures to address distributional concerns and maintain international competitiveness.

Accelerate Clean Energy Transition: Policies should support rapid deployment of renewable energy through feed-in tariffs, renewable energy standards, and grid modernization investments. Phasing out fossil fuel subsidies and implementing clean electricity standards can accelerate the transition to clean energy systems.

Promote Circular Economy Development: Governments should implement extended producer responsibility programs, support industrial symbiosis initiatives, and establish standards for sustainable product design. Public procurement policies can create markets for circular economy products and services.

Strengthen Green Finance Frameworks: Financial regulators should implement climate risk disclosure requirements, develop green taxonomy standards, and support the development of green finance markets. Central banks should consider climate risks in their monetary policy frameworks.

Invest in Innovation and Infrastructure: Public investment in clean technology research, development, and demonstration is essential for advancing breakthrough technologies.

Strategic infrastructure investments in electricity grids, charging networks, and digital systems can enable green growth across sectors.

Ensure Just Transition: Policies should include measures to support workers and communities affected by the transition away from fossil fuel industries. Retraining programs, regional development initiatives, and social protection measures can help ensure that the benefits of green growth are broadly shared.

Enhance International Cooperation: Global challenges require coordinated international responses, including technology transfer mechanisms, climate finance flows, and harmonized environmental standards. Trade policies should support rather than undermine environmental objectives.

Conclusion

The transition to a low-carbon economy represents both an unprecedented challenge and a historic opportunity for sustainable development. While the scale of transformation required is enormous, evidence from successful green growth initiatives demonstrates that well-designed policies can deliver both environmental and economic benefits. The rapid decline in clean technology costs, growing investor interest in sustainable finance, and increasing consumer demand for sustainable products create favorable conditions for accelerating the green transition.

However, achieving sustainable development through green growth requires more than technological solutions alone. It demands fundamental changes in economic systems, business models, and consumption patterns, supported by appropriate institutional frameworks and policy interventions. The concept of absolute decoupling remains contentious, but the urgency of environmental challenges necessitates pursuing all available strategies for reducing environmental impact while maintaining economic prosperity.

Success in implementing green growth strategies depends on strong political leadership, stakeholder engagement, institutional capacity, and international cooperation. Countries that have made progress in decoupling economic growth from environmental impact have typically combined multiple policy instruments, maintained long-term policy commitments, and addressed distributional concerns through complementary measures.

Looking forward, emerging technologies and evolving policy frameworks offer new opportunities for advancing green growth objectives. Artificial intelligence, nature-based solutions, and just transition policies represent promising directions for future development. However, the window for achieving global sustainability objectives is narrowing rapidly, requiring unprecedented coordination and commitment from governments, businesses, and civil society.

The path to a sustainable, low-carbon future is neither simple nor guaranteed, but the economic case for green growth continues to strengthen as environmental costs mount and clean technology solutions mature. By learning from early experiences and adapting strategies to local contexts, countries can pursue development pathways that deliver prosperity within planetary boundaries, creating a foundation for long-term human welfare and environmental sustainability.

References

1. Agora Energiewende. (2020). *The German energiewende: The real status after a decade*. Agora Energiewende.
2. Ambec, S., Cohen, M. A., Elgie, S., & Lanoie, P. (2013). The Porter hypothesis at 20: Can environmental regulation enhance innovation and competitiveness? *Review of Environmental Economics and Policy*, 7(1), 2-22.
3. Bank for International Settlements. (2021). *Climate-related financial risks: A survey on current initiatives*. Bank for International Settlements.
4. Bayer, P., & Aklin, M. (2020). The European Union emissions trading system reduced CO2 emissions despite low prices. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 117(16), 8804-8812.
5. Chertow, M. R. (2007). "Uncovering" industrial symbiosis. *Journal of Industrial Ecology*, 11(1), 11-30.
6. Climate Bonds Initiative. (2022). *Sustainable debt global state of the market 2021*. Climate Bonds Initiative.

7. Climate Policy Initiative. (2021). *A snapshot of global climate finance in 2019*. Climate Policy Initiative.

8. Dasgupta, S., Laplante, B., Wang, H., & Wheeler, D. (2006). Confronting the environmental Kuznets curve. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 16(1), 147-168.

9. Dorband, I. I., Jakob, M., Kalkuhl, M., & Steckel, J. C. (2019). Poverty and distributional effects of carbon pricing in low-and middle-income countries: A global comparative analysis. *World Development*, 115, 246-257.

10. Ellen MacArthur Foundation. (2015). *Growth within: A circular economy vision for a competitive Europe*. Ellen MacArthur Foundation.

11. Ellen MacArthur Foundation. (2019). *Completing the picture: How the circular economy tackles climate change*. Ellen MacArthur Foundation.

12. European Commission. (2019). *The European Green Deal*. European Commission.

13. European Commission. (2020). *Circular economy action plan: For a cleaner and more competitive Europe*. European Commission.

14. European Environment Agency. (2019). *The European environment: State and outlook 2020*. European Environment Agency.

15. Griscom, B. W., Adams, J., Ellis, P. W., Houghton, R. A., Lomax, G., Miteva, D. A., ... & Fargione, J. (2017). Natural climate solutions. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 114(44), 11645-11650.

16. Hickel, J., & Kallis, G. (2020). Is green growth possible? *New Political Economy*, 25(4), 469-486.

17. International Energy Agency. (2019). *The future of cooling: Opportunities for energy-efficient air conditioning*. International Energy Agency.

18. International Energy Agency. (2020). *Energy technology perspectives 2020*. International Energy Agency.

19. International Energy Agency. (2021). *Net zero by 2050: A roadmap for the global energy sector*. International Energy Agency.

20. International Energy Agency. (2023). *Global EV outlook 2023*. International Energy Agency.

21. International Labour Organization. (2018). *World employment and social outlook 2018: Greening with jobs*. International Labour Organization.

22. International Monetary Fund. (2021). *Still not getting energy prices right: A global and country update of fossil fuel subsidies*. International Monetary Fund.

23. International Panel on Climate Change. (2018). *Global warming of 1.5°C: An IPCC special report*. Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change.

24. International Renewable Energy Agency. (2019). *Innovation landscape for a renewable-powered future*. International Renewable Energy Agency.

25. International Renewable Energy Agency. (2020). *Renewable power generation costs in 2019*. International Renewable Energy Agency.

26. International Renewable Energy Agency. (2021). *World energy transitions outlook: 1.5°C pathway*. International Renewable Energy Agency.

27. Material Economics. (2018). *The circular economy: A powerful force for climate mitigation*. Material Economics.

28. Mazzucato, M., & Semieniuk, G. (2017). Public financing of innovation: New questions. *Oxford Review of Economic Policy*, 33(1), 24-48.

29. McKinsey & Company. (2021). *McKinsey electric vehicle index: Europe cushions a global plunge in EV sales*. McKinsey & Company.

30. Mehling, M. A., van Asselt, H., Das, K., Droege, S., & Verkuijl, C. (2019). Designing border carbon adjustments for enhanced climate action. *American Journal of International Law*, 113(3), 433-481.

31. Morris, C., & Jungjohann, A. (2016). *Energy democracy: Germany's energiewende to renewables*. Palgrave Macmillan.

32. Murray, B., & Rivers, N. (2015). British Columbia's revenue-neutral carbon tax: A review of the latest "grand experiment" in environmental policy. *Canadian Public Policy*, 41(4), 285-305.

33. Nielsen. (2018). *The Nielsen global corporate sustainability report*. Nielsen.

34. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. (2011). *Towards green growth*. OECD Publishing.

35. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. (2016). *Extended producer responsibility: Updated guidance for efficient waste management*. OECD Publishing.

36. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. (2019). *Business models for the circular economy: Opportunities and challenges for policy*. OECD Publishing.

37. Pearce, D., & Barbier, E. (2000). *Blueprint for a sustainable economy*. Earthscan.

38. People's Bank of China. (2021). *China green finance development report 2020*. People's Bank of China.

39. Porter, M. E., & van der Linde, C. (1995). Toward a new conception of the environment-competitiveness relationship. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 9(4), 97-118.

40. REN21. (2021). *Renewables 2021 global status report*. REN21 Secretariat.

41. Rolnick, D., Donti, P. L., Kaack, L. H., Kochanski, K., Lacoste, A., Sankaran, K., ... & Bengio, Y. (2019). Tackling climate change with machine learning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1906.05433*.

42. Sorrell, S., Dimitropoulos, J., & Sommerville, M. (2020). Empirical estimates of the direct rebound effect: A review. *Energy Policy*, 37(4), 1356-1371.

43. United Nations Environment Programme. (2011). *Decoupling natural resources and environmental impacts from economic growth*. United Nations Environment Programme.

44. Wiedmann, T., Lenzen, M., Keyßer, L. T., & Steinberger, J. K. (2015). Scientists' warning on affluence. *Nature Communications*, 11, 3107.